# PROPOSED PLAN OF REMEDIAL ACTION

560 Terminal Avenue New Castle, DE

DNREC Project No. DE 1123



October 2002

Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control
Division of Air and Waste Management
Site Investigation & Restoration Branch
391 Lukens Drive
New Castle, Delaware 19720

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	INTRODUCTION	1
2.0	SITE DESCRIPTION AND HISTORY	1
2.1 2.2	SITE SETTINGSITE AND PROJECT HISTORY	
3.0	INVESTIGATION RESULTS	2
4.0	REMEDIAL ACTION OBJECTIVES	4
5.0	PROPOSED PLAN OF REMEDIAL ACTION	4
6.0	PUBLIC PARTICIPATION	5
	LIST OF FIGURES	
Figur	e 1: Site Location	8
FIGUR	e 2: Surrounding Properties and Groundwater Flow Direction	9
FIGUR	F 3: SAMPLING LOCATIONS	10

#### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

The 560 Terminal Avenue site (site) is located approximately ½ mile west of the Port of Wilmington in New Castle, Delaware. In order to determine the potential for environmental liability prior to the purchase of the site, G&L Holdings, Inc. (G&L) entered into the Voluntary Cleanup Program (VCP) under the provisions of the Delaware Hazardous Substance Cleanup Act, 7 Del. C. Chapter 91 (HSCA), as administered by the Site Investigation and Restoration Branch on behalf of the Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control (DNREC). Through a VCP Agreement, G&L agreed to investigate the potential risks to public health, welfare, and the environment by chemical contamination at the site. G&L contracted WIK Associates, Inc. (WIK) to perform a remedial investigation (RI) of the site.

The purpose of the RI was to: 1) document existing environmental conditions at the site; and 2) determine the level of risk posed by the contaminants, and based upon this analysis, if necessary, evaluate remedial alternatives.

This document is DNREC's proposed plan of remedial action (proposed plan) for the site. It is based on the results of the previous investigations performed at the site. This proposed plan is issued under the provisions of the HSCA and the Regulations Governing Hazardous Substance Cleanup (Regulations). It presents DNREC's assessment of the potential health and environmental risks posed by the site.

As described in Section 12 of the Regulations, DNREC will provide notice to the public and allow an opportunity for the public to comment upon the proposed plan. At the end of the public comment period, DNREC will review and consider all of the comments received and then DNREC will issue a final plan of remedial action (final plan). The final plan will designate the selected remedy for the site. All previous investigations of the site, the proposed plan, comments received from the public, DNREC's responses to those comments, and the final plan will constitute the Remedial Decision Record for the site.

Section 2.0 presents a summary of the site description and history. Section 3.0 provides a description of the remedial investigation results. Section 4.0 presents a discussion of the remedial action objectives. Section 5.0 presents the proposed plan of remedial action. Section 6.0 discusses public participation requirements.

#### 2.0 SITE DESCRIPTION AND HISTORY

#### 2.1 Site Setting

The site is located at the intersection of Terminal Avenue and Pigeon Point Road, approximately ½ mile west of the Port of Wilmington, in the City of New Castle, New Castle County, Delaware (Figures 1 & 2). It consists of an approximately six-acre parcel of developed, industrial land. Terminal Avenue borders the site to the north and northeast, Pigeon Point Road to the west, a truck storage depot to the south, and a railroad right-of-way to the southeast. An active asphalt hot mix facility presently occupies the site. The surrounding land use is industrial and

commercial, with several federal and state superfund sites within 0.5 miles, including the Halby Chemical superfund site located directly across Terminal Avenue from the site

#### 2.2 Site and Project History

Through a review of historical aerial photographs, United States Geologic Survey topographic maps, historical Sanborn fire insurance maps and city directories, the historical use of the site has been investigated. Based upon the title search, the property has been corporately owned since at least 1872. At that time, and up until 1950, the property that encompasses the site was owned by the Lobdell Car Wheel Company, which manufactured railroad wheels and machinery. Lobdell Car Wheel Company sold the property to the Dover Equipment and Machine Company in 1950. G&L Holdings purchased the company in 1994, leasing the space to Tilcon Delaware, Inc. to operate the asphalt hot mix plant.

Three underground storage tanks were removed in 1992, including two gasoline tanks (one 10,000 gallon and one 3,000 gallon), and one 10,000 gallon diesel fuel tank. Contaminated soil associated with the tank removal was excavated and bioremediated onsite. The soil was treated to below DNREC action levels for underground storage tank releases and transported for use as fill in Dover, Delaware. The DNREC Underground Storage Tank Branch issued a no further action letter for the site in 1994.

WIK Associates, Inc. conducted a Phase I environmental site assessment of the site in 1993, which consisted of a historical review of the property and surrounding area. It recommended further investigation of the property. A Phase II site investigation was conducted in 1994 and consisted of soil sampling, asbestos sampling, and the sampling of two monitoring wells that were installed by the U.S. EPA in 1993 as part of the ongoing RI of the nearby Halby Chemical site. Results from the soil sampling indicated the presence of low concentrations of petroleum hydrocarbons and the chlorinated volatile organic compounds (VOCs), trichlororethene (TCE) and tetrachloroethene (PCE). TCE and PCE were detected in shallow well MW-4 at 9,200  $\mu$ g/l and 66  $\mu$ g/l, respectively, well above the respective U.S. EPA Maximum Contaminant Levels (MCLs) for Drinking Water of 5  $\mu$ g/l. Low concentrations of the gasoline components toluene and ethylbenzene were detected in groundwater from MW-2.

A Phase III site investigation was conducted later in 1994 by WIK in an effort to assess the magnitude and extent of contaminants detected during the Phase II. These sampling results indicated that only low levels of petroleum hydrocarbons remained in onsite soils, at concentrations below action levels. TCE was found in soils near the TCE storage area and near monitoring well MW-4. It was also detected in groundwater at, and downgradient from, the TCE storage area. The observed concentrations of TCE suggested that further action was warranted.

#### 3.0 INVESTIGATION RESULTS

WIK conducted a RI for the site in 2001 for purposes of assessing present environmental conditions of the site, and to evaluate the extent of the TCE contamination. Specific goals of the investigation were to identify the possible presence of non-aqueous phase liquid TCE (i.e., free product), assess the horizontal extent of groundwater impacts, determine the depth and

configuration of the underlying clay confining unit, and determine the extent of soil contamination in the unsaturated zone.

Surface and subsurface soil samples were collected using direct-push technology, and were submitted for laboratory analysis of volatile and semivolatile organic compounds (VOCs and SVOCs), pesticides, polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), and cyanide. Groundwater samples were collected from five existing monitoring wells and one piezometer, and were submitted for the same suite of chemical analyses as the soil samples, plus metals. As the property is presently zoned industrial-commercial, and the surrounding land use is zoned similarly, the analytical results were compared to the DNREC Uniform Risk-Based Remediation Standards (URS) in a non-critical water resource area, using the restricted use (i.e., non-residential) risk scenario as a screen in order to determine potential contaminants of concern (COCs) for further risk evaluation.

No SVOCs, PCBs, nor cyanide were detected in any of the soil samples. Only trace concentrations of the pesticides 4-4'-DDE (15  $\mu$ g/kg) and 4-4'-DDT (28  $\mu$ g/kg) were detected in one soil sample, but the observed concentrations were several orders of magnitude below their respective restricted use, as well as unrestricted use, URS values. Three chlorinated VOCs, cis-1,2-dichloroethene (cis-1,2-DCE), TCE and PCE, were detected in several soil samples. None of the observed concentrations of the three compounds exceeded the respective restricted use URS values, or even the unrestricted use URS values. The highest concentrations were cis-1,2-DCE at 70  $\mu$ g/kg (restricted use URS of 2,000 mg/kg), TCE at 1,500  $\mu$ g/kg (URS of 520 mg/kg), and PCE at 630  $\mu$ g/kg (URS of 110 mg/kg). Complete analytical results from the RI are listed in table format in Appendix A.

No SVOCs, PCBs, nor pesticides were detected in any of the groundwater samples. Cis-1,2-DCE (up to 61  $\mu$ g/l in MW-4), TCE (up to 2,900  $\mu$ g/l in MW-4), and PCE (up to 110  $\mu$ g/l in GP-17) were detected in several of the monitoring wells. The respective MCLs for these compounds are 70  $\mu$ g/l, 5  $\mu$ g/l and 5  $\mu$ g/l. Total cyanide was detected in SMW-6 at 60  $\mu$ g/l (URS of 200  $\mu$ g/l), while thiocyanate, a contaminant associated with the Halby site, was detected in two wells at a concentration up to 2,300  $\mu$ g/l (URS of 370  $\mu$ g/l). High concentrations of iron (up to 29,400  $\mu$ g/l) and manganese (up to 744  $\mu$ g/l) were also detected in groundwater from several wells above their respective URS values of 300  $\mu$ g/L and 50  $\mu$ g/L.

A cumulative, site-specific soil risk assessment indicated that the cumulative risks associated with site soil were at a carcinogenic risk of  $5.89 \times 10^{-9}$  for restricted use, and  $5.28 \times 10^{-8}$  for unrestricted use, with a non-carcinogenic Hazard Quotient of 0 for both scenarios. These risks are below the HSCA action level of  $1 \times 10^{-5}$  for carcinogenic risk and a Hazard Quotient of 1.0 for non-carcinogenic risks. Thus, the soil does not pose an unacceptable risk to human health even if the site were to be used for residential development.

Based upon initial screening, TCE, PCE, thiocyanate, iron and manganese were included in the risk assessment for groundwater. The cumulative non-carcinogenic Hazard Quotient was 0.56, which is below the HSCA threshold of 1.0. The cumulative carcinogenic risk was calculated at  $7.7 \times 10^{-4}$ , above the HSCA threshold of  $1 \times 10^{-5}$ .

At the present time there are no receptors for the contaminated groundwater, as the area surrounding the Port of Wilmington, which includes the subject site, are served with public water. In addition, the 560 Terminal Avenue property is located within the Zone B of the Halby Chemical and Environs groundwater management zone. Within this Zone B, no public or domestic water supply wells are permitted either in the Columbia or Upper Potomac Aquifers.

Groundwater flows away from the site, as measured using water level measurements from exiting onsite monitoring wells and piezometers, and northeastward underneath the heavily contaminated Halby Chemical Superfund site.

### 4.0 REMEDIAL ACTION OBJECTIVES

According to Section 8.4 (1) of the Regulations, site-specific remedial action objectives (RAO) must be established for all plans of remedial action. The Regulations provide that DNREC set objectives for land use, resource use and cleanup levels that are protective of human health and the environment.

Qualitative objectives describe in general terms what the final results of the remedial action, if necessary, should be. The following qualitative objectives are determined to be appropriate for the site:

- 1. Prevent exposure to groundwater contaminated with PCE and TCE; and
- 2. Continue the use of public water for all purposes to the surrounding community.

These objectives are consistent with the current use of the site as an industrial facility in an area of mixed industrial/commercial use in an urban setting, New Castle County zoning policies, state regulations governing water supply and worker health and safety.

Based on the qualitative objectives, the quantitative objectives are:

- Prevent human exposure to groundwater contaminated with chlorinated solvents whose concentrations exceed the following U.S. EPA MCLs: TCE 5 μg/l and PCE 5 μg/l; and
- Ensure clean closure of areas of the site currently used to store asphalt materials.

#### 5.0 PROPOSED PLAN OF REMEDIAL ACTION

Based on DNREC's evaluation of the site information and the above remedial action objectives, the recommended remedial actions for the site consist of the following activities as described below:

1. Placement of a deed restriction on the property that prohibits the installation of any water well on, or use of groundwater at, the site without the prior written approval of DNREC;

- 2. Ensure that the site will remain a part of the existing Halby Chemical and Environs Groundwater Management Zone; and
- 3. In the event that land use changes at the facility, a soil investigation will be required at the time of facility closure to ensure areas where asphalt materials are currently stored do not present a risk to human health and the environment.

#### 6.0 PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

The Department actively solicits public comments or suggestions on the proposed plan of remedial action and welcomes opportunities to answer questions. Please direct written comments to:

DNREC Site Investigation and Restoration Branch 391 Lukens Drive New Castle, Delaware 19720 Attention: Keith Robertson

The comment period begins Monday, October 21, 2002, and ends at the close of business (4:30 p.m.) Tuesday, November 12, 2002. If DNREC receives a request with merit, a public meeting will be held on the proposed plan. The meeting time and place will be publicly announced in the same venues as this proposed plan.

KJR/rm KJR.02029.doc DE 1123 IIB8

John Blevins	Date
Director, Division of Air and Waste Management	

# Figures 1 & 2 from Remedial Investigation Report

Prepared by WIK Associates, Inc., October 2001.

**Figure 1: Site Location** 

Figure 2: Surrounding Properties and Groundwater Flow Direction

**Figure 3: Sampling Locations** 

## APPENDIX A